# UCC Council Meeting Minutes Friday, December 7<sup>th</sup>, 2018 12:30pm-2:00pm UC 216

**Attendance**: P. Kaur, K. Rabbitt, C. Weissenborn, N. Weiner, D. Weisberg, , P. VonDohlen, A. Baron, J. Lincoln, , C. Zhang, L. Verzani, J. Ekeocha, T. Joachim, L. Birge, L. Robertson, D. Hack, K. Swanson

#### Meeting Called to Order at 12:33pm

### 1. Agenda Adopted

### 2. Approve Minutes from 10/19/18

- Minutes approved.
- Change date of previous minute approval.
- Brief discussion of previous director's report regarding course flow meetings with registrar and departments.

### 3. Directors Report- Jonathan Lincoln

- Still no current UCC Director. There will be an upcoming conversation with another perspective individual.
  - The previous individuals either accepted a separate opportunity, were determined not fit, or had separate research and personal commitments.
- Upcoming conversations with the next prospect will begin next week.
- Noted that there is a challenge in finding the correct individual who is looking to commit and help pursue the vision for the UCC.
- Looking for someone to put in at least a three-year commitment in regards to the reaccreditation visit with Middle States.
- Discussion in regards to town hall meeting for Middle State visit and recruiting volunteers to assist in research groups.
  - Clarification that Middle States will be looking at future priorities and increasing standards rather than in the past.
  - Looking for greater campus wide participation across all divisions and job levels.
  - Look on WPUNJ.edu/Middle-States- Self- Study can give more information regarding what participants can do and other information.
- Presidents Memo on "Key Performance Indicators" to inform the university about working together in order to achieve these.
  - Indicator for student engagement; there is one in academic affairs in regards to High Impact Practices (ie: internships, student- faculty research.)
    - Note some of these high- impact practices are anchored in the UCC.
    - Use this to help students understand why they take UCC Courses.

- Discussion of Student Badges related to High Impact Experiences and a related Honors society.
- Discussion on Key Performance Indicators and how the university can positively impact students.
  - Can use this to help give the UCC a higher profile amongst students.
  - Note that suggestions in including KPI in UCC courses would be helpful, and want to figure out how to implement these strategies.

## 4. Course Approval Updates

- Courses COMM 2790, ENG 2070, and PHIL 1330 were voted upon via email due to the cancellation of the November meeting. A copy of the email voting and comments were provided to individuals.
- Each course was opened to discussion again at this meeting if necessary.

# COMM 2790 (Area 5)

- In terms of the grading, participation is lab work. Clarification as to how a theater course could have lab work was needed.
  - It was clarified that there are theater labs in which students perform or rehearse as their lab work.

Approved: 10

Abstained: 0

Against: 0

## ENG 2070 (Writing Intensive)

• Observation that the Communication department has a very similar course.

Approved: 10 Abstained: 0 Against: 0

## PHIL 1330 (Area 3a)

• No discussion.

Approved: 9 Abstained: 0 Against: 1

## WGS 3530 (Area 5)

- Commendation in regards to support of the Area Outcomes. Demonstrated support in how the course will achieve area outcomes.
- Conversation regarding how Area 5d will be assessed. Examples and SLOs should be provided and wording as to how this is accomplished should be edited.
  - Examples from page 2 of the proposal form should be listed and embedded into the course outline in section eight.

• Course description should include the fact that it is a UCC course.

Approved: 13 Abstained: 0 Against: 0

### 5. Technology Intensive Outcome Discussion

- Language of sections three and four.
- There will be update to the UCC website in regards to the language and some changes of the Technology Intensive Area.
- The guidelines seem to be carried over, but not consistent to the changes.
  - It can be implied that there is not necessarily equal weighting to each of the sections.
- Discussion of inserting the word substantially in sections 1 and 2 and in 3 and 4 it needs to be understood in regards to ethical and legality matters.
  - Sections 3 and 4 no longer need to be considered as substantial parts of the course.
- Suggestion to use the word applicable in sections 3 and 4.
  - Need to take into consideration the fact that it may not always be applicable and in turn may not be included in the course.
- Looking for ways to state that sections 3 and 4 do not need to be "substantial" parts of the course.
- Conversation about using the word "understanding" because "applicable" can be used too loosely.
  - Understanding may not work because it is too vague
- Conversation about using the word "addressed" in sections 3 and 4.
  - Moving in the right direction, but not a consensus.
- Question was brought up if sections 3 and 4 even have to be included in technology intensive outcomes?
  - Ethical and legal issues are going to be brought up, its just a matter of how much and to what extent.
- There is the realization that technology is a very broad term in itself.
- Could leave "applicable" under the realization that the council and review panels determine what is considered applicable.
  - In this case then there might be a seeming gradation in what is then considered tech. intensive.
- Have to realize that the tech professors are not experts in ethical and legal behaviors yet we are requesting them to teach about these topics.
- Suggesting these changes would be helpful in order to increase the number of tech intensive course that we have.
  - Adding the "applicable" part makes it so the council and the panels have the leeway to make suggestions where and when necessary.

- Ultimately decided that there needs to be further conversation.
  - Will continue to form consensus through email and then vote upon at a future meeting.

### 6. Upcoming Meetings

- Doodle poll will be sent out in the coming weeks to determine what the best time and days are for meetings in the spring semester.
- Thanks was given to the council for efforts throughout the semester.
- 7. Meeting Adjourned at 1:29pm